Election Fraud: A Documentary Analysis

The Role of Trump as Commander in Chief

Donald J. Trump did not officially concede the 2020 election because he was recognized as the Commander in Chief of one of the most extensive military operations in history. This military initiative aims to dismantle treasonous elements within legislative and judicial branches that have infiltrated the U.S. government over centuries, with significant acceleration following the founding of the CIA in 1947. Historical precedents include the attempted assassination of President Ronald Reagan and the assassination of President John F. Kennedy during periods of CIA leadership by George H.W. Bush.

Manipulation of the 2020 Election

The 2020 election was allegedly manipulated through a multi-phase strategy:

1. **Preparation Phase**: Weakening electoral systems, seeding voter rolls with inaccurate data, and centralizing control of electronic voting systems.
2. **Main Attack Phase**: Ballot stuffing via mail-in voting, supported by centralized control and opaque processes.
3. **Backup Attack Phase**: Algorithmic vote adjustments using Dominion software to ensure predetermined outcomes if ballot manipulation was insufficient.
4. **Defense Phase**: Suppression of election fraud discussions, including destruction of records in several states.

Technological Interference

CIA-developed programs such as Hammer and Scorecard were allegedly used to alter vote counts in real-time during the 2020 election. Dominion voting machines played a central role, with significant ownership ties to Paul Pelosi, the husband of Speaker Nancy Pelosi. Sidney Powell and other legal figures accused these systems of flipping votes from Trump to Biden.

International and Historical Context

Election interference was not isolated to 2020. Claims suggest the Obama administration utilized CIA tools to manipulate both the 2008 and 2012 elections. Furthermore, international systems like Smartmatic, which allegedly influenced elections in Venezuela, have ties to U.S. electoral processes, with operations in Florida following the "hanging chads" controversy in 2000.

Executive Orders and Military Oversight

Trump’s issuance of Executive Orders 13818 and 13848, targeting foreign interference and corruption, was part of a broader strategy to expose election manipulation. Post-2020, the U.S. has reportedly been under military control governed by the Law of War Manual. This approach allowed fraudulent activities to proceed visibly to amass undeniable evidence.

Bryed Billerbeck SoY © Copyright 2025-1-8

Judicial Responses and the Brunson Case

The Brunson v. Adams case, filed with the U.S. Supreme Court on October 13, 2022, accused government officials of treason for failing to investigate allegations of a rigged election. The complaint argued that these failures constituted a violation of citizens' constitutional rights and a breach of national security. Brunson's case sought the removal of President Joe Biden, Vice President Kamala Harris, and members of Congress who neglected their investigative duties. This case has been decided by the Supreme Court though, not yet announced publicly. The military is still tying up loose ends in capturing all remaining treasonous traitors.

Historical Patterns of Election Disputes

Democrats objected to presidential electoral certification in 2000, 2004, and 2016 without facing FBI raids or criminal allegations. This contrasts with actions taken against those questioning the integrity of the 2020 election, highlighting perceived double standards in judicial and media treatment.

Suppression and Censorship

Social media platforms, mainstream media, and search engines played pivotal roles in controlling narratives around election integrity. Marjorie Taylor Greene publicly criticized the suppression of election audits and discussions, underscoring the societal division fostered by censorship.

Economic and Global Implications

Major economic crises—the Dot Com Bubble (2000), Housing Crisis (2008), and COVID-19 Recession (2020)—coincided with election years. These crises are alleged to have been orchestrated as part of larger geopolitical strategies. The deliberate handing over of the 2020 election to the "Deep State" is portrayed as a masterstroke in military strategy designed to expose systemic corruption on a global scale.

Closing Observations

The evidence presented outlines a complex network of systemic election manipulation, ideological influences, and technological control mechanisms. The narrative positions these actions as part of a broader historical and geopolitical struggle for power, with Trump's presidency and subsequent military actions serving as pivotal moments in exposing entrenched corruption. Further investigation and transparency are advocated to uphold the principles of democratic governance.

Bryed Billerbeck SoY © Copyright 2025-1-8